App. F. IX-4

Previous References

193 9.
( )

File No. 9 2-29
Serial Nos. 1-13

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

---

erial Nos. 13

Part B for January 1931

SUBJECT.

Resumption of the Kaiyaneguddekada-
bana halla village, & allowance to Sri Anjaneya
Swamy Temple at Sakleshpur.

To the Secy. Com
Confl.

Section

G R S. APP F. III—1] 1929.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 92/1929

FILE HEADING.

Resumption of the Kayamgutta Kadabanahalli village in the Manjanabad Taluk endowed to Sri Anjaneya Swamy temple at Sakleshpura —

FROM

The D. C.

No. 490 Dated 16.9.29 Received

Diary No. 473	Enclos. 1 Spare copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports that the Inam village was leased out permanently in 1910 by the late Archaka Shamachar, and that the leased properties are always a source of doubtful income as endowments, and recommend therefore that the lands may be converted into cash and the temple expenses are met from the interest carning of the sale proceeds etc.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Dy. to Inam Supdt calling for an extract of the Detailed Inam Register, is submitted (Vide copy of Demarcation Bo Dy 7.6.1884) in the record.

AC 28/9/29.

Notes—First Sheet.

2

WD-01683—GPB—5,000—3-5-29

Inam Supdt
No 778 of 7-10-29
calls for a detailed

Serial No.

IV

From, the Inam Supt., Letter no. 85 Dt 6.11.29

Submits a copy of the details Inam Register pertaining to the Grant of Kayamputta Kadabana halli Village to Sri Anjaneya Swamy temple at Sakleshpura

It is seen from the Inam Register that the above Village was granted under a Sanad to one Gedatam Annayachar as Kayamputta tenure and its Resumption was ordered finally in the Inam Settlement of Dec. 1847

Again on a re-consideration, it was again ordered in July 1885, that the Resumption should be cancelled, and the Village confirmed as Kayamputta on an annual assessment of Rs 30/- so long as the Denadaya Service viz worship in Sri Anjaneya Swamy temple at Sakleshpura, is duly performed.

Orders solicited on the A.C.'s recommendation contained in the last para of Sl. 1 viz to sell the lands in the Village after cancelling the Inam tenure the realizations being invested in suitable Paper to be purchased in the name of the institution, and a scale fixed according to the extent.

Section Officer

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684-1931B—10,000—20-4-29

22-11-29

Serial
No

Three points are raised

(1) Enfranchisement of the Khasgunjettee Karabanhalli village

(2) Sale of the lands & investment of net proceeds in Cash Securities so as to earn interest

(3) Steps to be taken to do it.

It is undoubtedly true that Conversion of Landed Estates (Devadaya) into Cash would be a measure of financial convenience under present day Economic Standards and result in what also comparatively less cost of management and as a general measure might be desirable. But as this village is not likely to be the only village so alienated in Mysore, as a general principle, whether Compensation should be allowed in such cases or if so, on what scale, whether the Conversion of such landed estates into Cash would serve the purposes of the Grant and whether by such Conversion and investment, the Services at present received in Kind (in the present case it is only Cash of Rs 100/-) which the Services can be adequately replaced by a monetary level with a changing index of prices from time to time ~~can~~ have to be considered.

Instead, it is a matter for consideration if, ~~whether~~ without departing from our principles adhering upon, the Lease for 10 years as proposed by the Alienor may not be ~~sd~~ as a special case in ~~the~~ this

A.S. Vijaya

6861/1894

b 7 Dec 94)

7 References are incomplete.

Please take up - Dewans Proc. of 15th July & Govt. Proc. of 17th July 1894 - and note whether resumption would be unchallengable.

28/11/94

Serial No.

(As regard reps ordered in para 7 (1) which are rep. to in the concluding paras of the Inam Settlement Register copy), G.O of 1885 directing restoration is stated to be not available in the G.R.S. records, nor is any mention of it made to in the monthly Pro. Volume for July 1885. In the absence of this order, it is not possible to say for what reasons the order of resumption passed on 13th Dec 1879 was subsequently cancelled. The G.O. of 17th July 1894, a printed copy is placed on the file this order conveys instructions for revising the valuation of certain Jodi villages.

Submitted
AV
18/12/29

Mover for the order of 1885 from the C.R. and draft of the same is not available
21/12

LWS
19/12

D/D
AV
21/12/29

W
21/12

W
21/12

4. 31-12-29

(Note—Contn. Sheet)

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

1599

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Muz. File No. 92 of 1930

Serial No.

Rev A Sec K 6/11/30

no. 779-80 q. 15th July 1885 directing the [illegible] village.

Letter no: 1900/28-9 dated 2.4.30 From the Muz Commr.

5

Forwards a Copy of Dewans Proceedings no: 779.80 dated 15.7.1885 as requested

1) Smbd. Copy of G.O: no: 1252-31 Muz: 179-26-6 dated 2.2.1928 referred to in the letter of the S.W.O. of date 7th May 29 is also put up.

12) Orders are solicited on the Muz: Commr's recommendation that the lands in the Kayamgutta Kadabanahally village may be ordered to be sold after getting five annas of the village altered from dasavandha to Sircar and the realizations invested in Govt paper to be purchased in the name of the institution.

GS 24/4

(3) The Government were not willing to cancel the Kayamgutta Inam in 1885. The recommendation of Mr. May & Dewan made on the ground of invalid alienation of the land & [illegible] Peishcush as it

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

Serial No.

13770
28/4

and to sell them or another & to invest the sale amount in fixed deposit and to pay a yearly income may not therefore be sanctioned.

The present holder is entitled to pay a pension of Rs 100/- per annum may be sanctioned as long as he is for the benefit of the Church and heard on behalf of the present holder as he is said to have spent some money over them on an enhanced pension for 10 years or the loan may be sanctioned with a bit of the amount now asked by him.

(Illegible)

25/4

15) The Vicar in the Church may be that it is in the words of the Memory of 15th June 28 in words.

25/4/30

16) The Supt Genl Records with compliments to peruse & note if the Church is known in the Vernacular Records.

V.R no. 100 of 28 4 1930

28/4/30

17) A search was made in the accounts received in the Vernacular Records A letter and a copy of the Deed issued to Mr. Gerasimus A. Ventakatarayan with on 16th April 1820 pertaining

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20 4-29

1977

App. F. III—2j

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 92 of 1929

Serial No.

to the subject is entered the Roznama ... of 1820 also contains a detail Marathi order issued to the same amildar on 15th January 1820 is also available.

Flag D

3) Both these are put up, if approved copies of the same will be sent to the Muzrai Commissioner.

5/5/30. With compts

5.5.30

4) Subd with reference to Asst. Secy's orders in para 15 of the notes. The vernacular records with if approved, the request to furnish a translation of the Marathi ...

10/5/30

The Supdt, Govt Records with compts with reference to para 4 of the note. The Marathi records translated into English

10/5/30

2a) a Kannada translation of the report is desirable. It is always usual to send Kannada translations of old Marathi papers from Ver-Dr. The required translation may be made in the Dr. i

With compts

12/5

2) Submd. with the original records & the translation put up by the Records Dy the Supdt sanctioning the Rayamgotta (Ref para 15). The Rayamgotta ... is to be furnished for the benefit of the ... Kannada ... under the orders ... (Ref).

12/5/30

Serial No.

22 The previous history of this Kayamgutha is given in the Govt. order of 11th July 1885 — copy in Misle Kyd. while the resumption ordered by the Inam Supdt was cancelled. —

23 The condition imposed on the holder was that the net-profits of the village shld be used for the service of the temple.

24 So long as this condition is fulfilled, the question of resumption of the village free of the Kayam for the Inamdar will not arise.

25 The M.C's communication does not show specifically whether the service of the temple is or not properly performed — It appears that the institution has a Govt grant thereby — Information as to the present condition of the temple and of the service in it may be obtained from the M.C.

26. It appears desirable to obtain also the opinion of the Survey Supdt. as regards the M.C's proposal of resumption of the village on the ground of its having been alienated by the Archak in possession?

(Sd) Krishna

29/5/30

28 MAY 1930
7201

27. ✓

Submitted in the 2 drafts precedg
MDR
2/6/30

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 92 of 1929.

Serial No.	
6.	The Mag Comr no 2783 g 9-6-30 Calls for some additional info. relative to the services in and the net income of the Temple
7.	To the Supdt Revn Survey & Settt no 2785 g. 9-6-30 Calls for his opinion in the matter of the Resumption of the village.
8	Letter no: 1900/28-9 dated 12-8-30 From the Mag. Comr. Forwards a copy of letter dated 28/7/30 recd from the Dte. Hassan furnishing information as called for in serial 6 above

30. The reply from the Inam Supdt: will if approved be awaited she has been reminded on 26-7-30.

Sd/- H. Seey) 31. 13.8.30.

15/8/30

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

Serial No.	
9.	Letter no 1900/28-9 dated 16.8.30 From the Mung. Comm Forwards petitions received with the Dy. Comm's letter dated 6-8-30 from the residents of Sakleshpur and the Archak of the temple praying for sanction of Cash inams for the temple, in case the village is to be resumed to Govt: The Supdt: Rev: Survey & Settlement has been reminded yesterday as per H. Secy's orders. This representation made by the residents of Sakleshpur & also the Archak may be considered at the time of the issue of orders. Submd. Gs MSK 1918
10	Letter no 47 of 30. 10.30 from the Superintendent Revenue Survey and Settlement stating that the grant of a lease in perpetuity by Srinivasachar's heirs Shamachar to Sonnegowda at an annual rent of Rs 100/- to be paid to the Anjaneya Temple is neither illegal nor irregular 36) Mr Supdt Rev Survey suggests for consideration whether a rent of Rs 100/- p.a for a village yielding Rs 114 a year is adequate

Sees
Please remind Rev Survey Supdt
MSK
4/9/30.

Note—Contn Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mucz File No. 92 of 1929

Serial No

the net income of the village.

37) As for the commutation mentioned in para 25 of the note, vide correspondence ending with 2877-30 from the D.C. Hassan. The P & A. is invited with reference to para 26

38) The Revenue Supdt is of opinion that the classification is not irregular but is sceptical as to the fixing of Rs 100/- being a fair equivalent for the net income. The Mysore Commissioner's further recommendation requires the calling for on the light of the Revenue Supdt's remarks. (Ref: paras 24 and 25 and the annexure to Serial D)

Law Secy) 4/11/30. VS 6.11.30

to Myre P.C. VIII VS 18.11.30

41) Orders issued accordingly

VS Issue VS 21.11.30

No 1191 dt 26/11/1930 to the Mysore Commissioner forwarding the further recommendation of the Mysore Commissioner for the calling for on the light of the Revenue Supdt's remarks.

Note—Contn Sheet

Serial No.

No 1900/28-29 dt 25/4/1931 from the Mukrai Commissioner recommending for reasons detailed therein that the lease of the land desired by Sri Somegowda for 5 years on a rental of Rs 100/- p.a. be sanctioned.

MAY 1931 No. 1538

US Ms recommendation of the M.C. is for orders

4/5 HC Secy

US 4.5.31

1st Member

4/5/31

US A. May be approved

US 5/5/31

Mr C

5/5/31

US Dft. ordered

9-5 Mail 11/5

US Issue

US 11-5-31

13 G.O. No 2459-60 dt 12/5/31 Sanctioning the lease of the land referred to therein to one Somegowda for 10 years on ... rental

B. 20/5/31

Note—Contn. Sheet

G. R. S. III—3]

[To be used only for notes

Dear Sir

Kindly send the enclosures to govt order No 2459 – 60/M3 92-29-13 Dy. 12.5.31 as the same have to be returned to Govt.

Yrs sincerely
Mukandi Lal
Mg Commr
Mee

Govt.

M. C. in his communication has not asked the return of the records. In the G O also it is not stated to be returned. If approved the records will be returned by the Mg Comr.

Mukandi Lal 13/5/31

May be sent vide Govt. No. S. 1200 dt. 8/12-8-3 19.5.31

ups, on
—1,50 000—2 5-21

Sent to M C on 19.5.31.

Mukandi Lal 19/5/31.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N B. -Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must b cipod, or slips pasted on this form.

Bangalore,.....13th..September. ..

16.

No. 1900 Rev File 289.



19 423

Inam 92-29-1

FROM

A. K. Syed Taj Pecran, Esq., B.A.,
~~The~~ Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.



To

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of his
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

In submitting herewith records received from the Deputy Commissioner Hassan District with his letter No.1244-28, dated the 23rd May 1929 (copy enclosed), in the matter of resuming the kayamgutta Kadabanahally village in the Manjarabad Taluk, endowed to the Sri Anjaneyaswamy temple at Saklespur, I have the honor to state as follows.-

The kayamgutta village of Kadabanahally in the Manjarabad taluk, endowed to the Sri Anjaneyaswamy temple at Saklespur, was till 1910 in the possession of Archak Shamachar, who then alienated the village to one Sanne Gowda of Saklespur for an annual gutta of Rs.100/-. This alienation of devadaya inam lands was noticed by the local officers and an explanation of both the alienor and the alienee was called for. It is reported that Archak Shamachar pleaded his inability to pay the lessee his costs and redeem the property. The lessee, Mr. Sannegowda, is said to have stated that the inam village was transferred to him through a duly registered deed for an annual gutta of Rs.100/-. The lessee, being now dead, his son Mr. Sonne gowda prays that the inam village may be continued to him for cultivation on the same gutta of Rs.100/- as was previously agreed to by the Archak. When the Sub Division Officer took up this case for enquiry, Mr.Sonne Gowda is said to have stated that he had no objection to restore the

inam lands to Government, provided adequate compensation awarded to him as he had spent a large sum for improving the land. Shamachar the lessor, is also now dead. His eldest son Raghavendra char stated before the Sub Division Officer that his father executed the lease deed through ignorance and inducement, that the transaction was invalid, and that he could not afford to pay any compensation to the lessee. In these circumstances, the Sub Division Officer recommended that the inam tenure of the village be cancelled, and the village resumed to Government and lands therein disposed of as Government land after the introduction of Survey and Settlement into the village, the temple being given a cash grant of Rs.120/- per annum. Agreeing with the Sub Division Officer the Deputy Commissioner requested that sanction of Government may be obtained (1) for the cancellation of the inam tenure of the kayamgutta Kadabanahally village & (2) for its sale in public auction after introducing survey & Settlement therein and (3) also for the grant of an annual cash grant of Rs.100/- for the temple of Sri Anjaneyaswamy at Saklespur in lieu of the inam village to be resumed.

As regards the award of compensation claimed by the lessee, the Sub Division Officer computes it at Rs.1000/- and recommends that it may be met from the realizations of about Rs.2000/- to Rs.3000 to be realized by the sale of the lands. The Deputy Commissioner on the other hand, states that the lessee may be left to himself to claim the compensation from the heirs of the lessor and that Government cannot compensate him in any way.

In his subsequent communication No.Muz.C.1244-28, dated the 13th August 1929 (copy enclosed), the Deputy Commissioner, while submitting a petition from Mr. P.S.Some Gowda, son of the lessee, praying that the village may be leased out to him for a period of 10 years for an annual gutta of Rs.100/- as he is unable to recover Rs.3500/- spent by him on the improvements of the village from the alienor Archak who has no means, states that the petitioner's case is deserving of sympathetic consideration, since the petitioner cannot claim anything from the alienor.

If the archak is a pauper and the lessee took the village with his eyes wide open, on permanent lease, there is no reason why the institution should suffer on that account. I think that landed properties are always a source of doubtful income as endowments. I am therefore of opinion that it would be much better if these -- lands are converted into cash and the expenses of the temple are met out of the interest earned on the sale proceeds of the land. I therefore beg to recommend that the lands in the village may be ordered to be sold, after getting the tenure of the village ---- altered from Inam to circar and the realizations invested in --- Government paper to be purchased in the name of the institution, and a scale fixed according to the income.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

etter No.1244-28 dated 22nd May 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Re. resumption of the Khayamgutta kadabanahally village in the Manjarabad Taluk endowed to the temple of Anjaneyaswamy at Saklespur.

I have the honor to forward herewith the vernacular records relating to the above ending with the Saklespur Sub Division Officer's letter No.A1.C.65-28 dated the 7th May 1929 wherein facts of the case have been fully explained and to state as follows:--

2. The permanent lease of the village effected in 1920 by the -- Archak Shamachar in favour of late Mr. Sannegowda is quite invalid. As per para 3 in page 72 of the Mysore Muzrai Regulation, the lessee may be left to himself to claim such compensation as he may from the heirs of the lessor; the Government cannot compensate him in any way.

3. The village in question is situated in the Malnad tract wherein the scarcity of labour is keenly felt by the land lords. The records disclose that it would not be possible for the Archaks to get these lands cultivated on their own responsibility and that no cultivator would accept the lease of the same unless it is a permanent or a long standing one. The temple lands could not be permanently leased out under the standing orders of Government.

4. The present lease being invalid as stated above, I have ordered the resumption of the inam village and I am of opinion that it may be sold in public auction as sarcar land, the temple being given an annual cash grant of Rs.100/-, the sum which it was all along getting from this village. In these circumstances, I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained (1) for the cancellation of the inam tenure of the khayamgutta Kadabanahally in the Manjarabad Taluk (2) for its sale in public auction after introducing survey and settlement therein and (3) also for the grant of an annual cash inam of Rs.100 for the temple of Anjaneyaswamy at Saklespur, in lieu of its inam - village to be resumed.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

dix FG—1

SUBJECT..... .....

.....

Letter No.M 7.1244-28 dated 13th August 1928 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Resumption of Kayamgutta Kadaharahalli village, Manjrabad Taluk.

In continuation of this office No.C.1244-28 dated 22nd May 1928, regarding the above, I have the honor to forward herewith the application from Mr. B.S. Somegowda of Saklespur, praying that the village may be leased out to him for a period of 10 years on an annual rental of Rs.100 as he is unable to recover Rs.3500/- ~~xxx~~ spent by him on this village - from the alienor who is a pauper. The petitioner's case is deserving of sympathetic consideration and I therefore recommend his case for sympathetic consideration, as the petitioner could not claim anything from the alienor, who is a pauper.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Copy of the detailed Inam Register pertaining to the Grant of Kayamgutta Kadaharahalli Village in Manjarabad Taluk to Sri Anjaneya Swamy temple at Saklespur

Dhareek

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18-9-28

20

No. 85

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY,

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
9 NOV. 1929
No 5704

Dated, Bangalore, 28th Nov 1929.
6-11.

FROM

D. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, ESQ., B.A.,
Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore.

GENL. & REV.
11 NOV 1929
19774
MUZRAI.

To

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Muz 92-29-3

SIR,

[illegible]

[illegible]

Hanumantha Rao

Register Form of the Kayamgutta

No of case	General class to which the Kayamgutta village belongs	Berry of the village	Description of Inam. If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for Buildings, etc, whether they are efficiently kept up	Amount of Kayamgutta paid to Government	By whom granted and in what year
1	2	3	4	5	6
	Jo Gran. ul D A V 23 6 4 R 0 15 68 11 8		Free personal tenure	Cash 29.10 9	By the late Maha ujé in the year [illegible]

having been assigned by him on Return of the annexed one original letter dated 10th October 1820 from Amirakachan to Hon the latter to take charge of "Hadabana kalagé village as personally an gattha a rent of 16-2-0 for subsisting my household for Uva, a Original Sanad Parwanah dated 6th January 1824 from H of Sabaragamu Pattu granting in accordance with the request of H for Cultivation for the year Tunana, Hadabana kalagé Village exempts from the Hevajan and stating that the Village which is destroyed.

village of in the

Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given	Name of the original grantee	Whether the village is entered in the Register of Kayam gutta Villages received from the Chief Commissioner's Office, if not, in what other accounts
7	8	9
An original nerup of the late Maharajah dated 15th January 1820 to the Amildar of Nanjanabad Krishniah Sanctioning the grant of Kaour banahalli Village on Kayam gutta tenure of 10-2-0 after the deduction of the dumm of Proglevance rental to one Gadatorai Anneta chari who has by much expenditure restored the Jungly lands of the Village to cultivation & the Village which was rented by the patels &c.	Gulladatore Annia-kachar.	Entered in the Huzur list of Kayam gutta Villages of the Fk. of the year 1835 Hadabanahally 7 V 4 23-6-4 Kayam gutta ward-rent due to Govt. 10-2-0 as per Maharajah nerup of 12th Chaitra Bahudhanya year Vesana. Inamdar Gadatore Annia chara Enjoys Kaavaloan of Sakkerpur as per Annia chetaris dated 14th of Aswaja Sudha year Vesana stating that after the satisfaction of the Govt rent the balance whatever it must be should be retained for carrying on Service di Anjaneyaswami at Sakkerpur on every Saturday "Sonnamanaka the ritsava". H Q Village reel of 1833 Kayam-gutta Hadabanahally Beriz of the year Kalayukty 11-9-12 Date Janti 12-0-4 24-0-0 Yari Enamfoshani 3-12-0 Balance Kayam. 23-6-4 Deduct-Inam account of Inksanetc. 13-4-4 Balance Kayam gutta. 10-2-0

Sammat

Residence, name age, etc, of the present owner	Relation to original grantee	If unconnected with the original holder, possession how obtained and in what year
10	11	12
Sakkrpuna Srinivasa chari 40	not related to the original owner.	By authority of the grant of the Village and the Amildar's Sagavali Chitty referred to in Col 7

the holder has gone on enjoying during the last 43 years on his own have the assessment: such possession now on ordinary Sagavalies does not, in my opinion, constitute a circumstance to recommend the Village being continued as Jodi I therefore recommend the Village being resumed and fully assessed.

The holder brings to notice that the Service ordained to be performed by the original grantee has been uninterruptedly kept up. Considering the fact that the condition of Service ceased after restoration of Village to the original grantee, it was not necessary for him to have spent any money on this account: He is also allowed to the of Archek to the temples of Any ancyasawami which is in the receipt of money allowances from Govt besides an endowment in Inam land.

Another circumstance brought to notice is that since the last 3 years more than half of the lands of the Village has been left uncultivated to the Construction of a Road (Hosur Chinnora pura Road) through cultivated lands by which the drainage which was flowing downwards has been obstructed and that the Inamdar's Request for assignment of fresh land in lieu of that taken up for the road and the Construction of a drain for bringing down the drainage from high lands has not been taken notice of. In case the recommendation made for resumption of the Village is approved of the assignment of land need not be considered except from the instructions of Govt.

(Sd) T. Srinivasaiengar
9-2-79

Taluk

Assistant's opinion and recommendation

13

2 Whole grant was 2 2 The present enjoyer of the Village alleges that the revenue ~~allowance~~ from all lands, so long enjoyed is enjoyed 3 4 It was in this way to ~~not be~~ desired 4 Finally the above mentioned Colonel will be seen that the Village was granted by the late Maharaja to one Gadadhar Ramrai on Kayam gatta. Shortly after the grant, the Village was managed by the father of the present enjoyer at the direction that the Amildar pro tem the Village should be ~~deducted~~ devolved on account of lands to Bhagirathi devaru of Sakleshpur. This it is said was owing to the grantee having a dependent of Gadastore Taluk to which he wished to appropriate the unprofitable character of the Village The 3rd also mentioned in Col 7 which is a Sagavali Chit from the Amildar explained by the party in col 10 is this by fiat to him by me that his father had to hold the Village in the management for 12 years he transferred the same after that period to the original grantee, that he cannot say what the grantee did after wards, that as the Village was by unequivocal vested his father obtained the same for cultivation from the Amildar And that the presumes from the intention of the words as per Huzur orders in the Sagavali Chit that the grant might have been made to his father on the same terms as they are with proper authority which however he is unable to explain. No reference in the Satpeel-speke - revival of the Kayam gutta could be traced out: Had there been any grant to the father of the present enjoyer there Sannad should have been produced in the accounts which is not the case. For the other accounts shew the manner by which he came to hold the Village, but it is remarkable that the allowance to the Sagavali Chit is omitted. It is also clear to me that the above in the Amildars Huzur orders was to 7 to 2

From these circumstances I think the Inam lapsed to Govt by the original grantee having abandoned the Village without making Arrangements for the payment of the ~~present~~ Peishcush and that the subsequent grant by the Amildar under a Sagavali Chit was purely an act of his own The possession of the Village does not seem, however, to have been questioned at any time since

District

GPB—100 - 27

14

1 No encumbrance with the ~~Revenue~~ Head of this Village is assumed

2. The Assistant has been informed Vide dakhil No " Dt 25.2.79

(Sd) R.A. Cole
24.2.79

3 The Joanty in Col.10 has appeared and States that he has no objection ~~I sanction~~ ~~Incumbrance~~ and ~~he~~ relies on the Amildar's Sagavali Certificate forces The ~~aforesaid~~ assumption to stand good

(Sd) R N Cole

Sa R Kapur
13 Dec. 1879

4 Vide the Dewan's Dfo No 779-80 Dt 15th July 85 directing the mutation of the village on Rayamgutta tenure to the Joint-holder for the reasons therein assigned

5 The assumption is therefore Cancelled and the Village conferred Rayamgutta on an annual payment of ₹ 30 avoiding fractions so long as the Deta daya Survey is duly performed

6. The Village is also subject to the local and irregation cess

7 Sayer, Abkari and Forest-rights are reserved

8 Valuation Survey is desired Vide Statement

28.7.85 (Sd) J P. Grant-
Supdt-

9 Received as per the Govt Proceedings No 14223-5 Dt 17th Feb 1894
Pt. 100-93

10 Vide the detailed Statement received with the Survey Supdt's Ltr No 616 Dt 19th November 1897

	N. G	R. A. P
Total area of Assessment	114 - 3	86 — 2 — 0

(Particulars

Uncultivable 26.37 8 — 0

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 1307

1 Village

General and Revenue Secretariat

(

the 192

To

.....The A. G. .....

Date of issue.....31-12-29.....

Signed by ..Mr. T. K. S. Iyer.....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

23/12

Examined by.....

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 1900 Dy 16th Sept. 1929 in the matter of securing the Kalyanshetta Kadabanahalli Village in the Manjarabad Taluk endowed to Sri Anjaneya Swamy temple at Sakleshpura I am directed to forward herewith for your perusal and return the original or a copy of Deputy Commr. Pro. no. 7117-

over

available in the

15th July 1885 (Refd: to
under Col 11 of the Copy
of the Inam Settlement
Register pertaining to the
said Village) directing the
Registration of this Village
on Kayamgutha tenure
to the holders for
Devadaya Service.

(2) We send with the
inam settlement register copy
are herewith returned for
reference.

I have etc.

Dist. Judge

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, ...1st. April.....*1930.*

2

No. 1900 Enquiry
29-9



FROM

Rajakaryapravina

P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Muz 92-29-5

Rajasevaprayana
R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., P.A., P.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.1307 Muz.92-29-4 dated 24/31-12-29 requesting to forward a copy of Dewan's Proceedings No.779-80 dated 15-7-1885 relating to the Kayamgutta Kadabanahalli village in the Manjarabad Taluk endowed to Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple at Saklespur, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the said proceedings for reference. The connected records accompany.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Muzrai Commissioner.

A.A.M.

Proceedings of the Dewan of Mysore.

R e a d :- Petition dated 15th March 1882, from Srinivasachari of Kadabanahalli, in the Manjarabad Taluk complaining of the resumption of the Kayamgutta village of Kadabanahalli by the Inam Department.

Inam Superintendent's letter no.67 dated 14th February 1882 forwarding the Inam Register in the case.

=====

Order thereon Camp No.779-80/Camp Mysore, Dated 11th July 1885

The village of Kadabanahalli in the Manjarabad Taluk was granted on the Kayamgutta tenure by nirup of His Highness the Maharaja, dated 15th January 1820, to Annaiyachari. On 10th October 1820 Annayyachari transferred the grant to Kesavachar (Ancester of the present holder) Archak of Anjeneya temple at Sakalespur on the condition that the net profits of the village should be used for the service in the said temple. There is no doubt whatever of the genuineness of the documents above referred to nor of the possession on Kayamgutta tenure by Kesavachar and his heirs ever since 1820.

The Inam Superintendent has resumed the village on the strength of the saguvali chit dated 8th January 1824 granted by the Amildar to the said Kesavachar. This saguvali chit cannot be held in any way to modify or cancel the previous grant under His Highness the Maharaja's Nirup. The saguvali chit was probably obtained by Kesavachar from interested motives with the wish, apparently, of being able to hold the village in his own individual right un-hampered by the conditions of the grant to him from Annayyachari. But it is not right that upon any such presumption the grant made by competent authority so far back as 1820 should be cancelled especially when the village has ever since been in the enjoyment of the grantee, assignee Kesavachar and his heirs.

The Superintendent of Inam settlement will accordingly be requested to restore the village on the khayamgutta tenure fixing the amount of rent payable by the present holder.

The Muzrai Department must see that the proceeds of the village are properly applied ~~to~~ in accordance with the directions of the original grantee Annayyachari.

Sd. V.P.Madhava Rao,

Offg. Secretary , --

======

" C O P Y "

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

Appendix B-G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mlg

File No. 82 - 29

Serial No. 6.

No. 2721/Revenue

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( ).

the *192*

To The M.C.

Date of issue 9.6.30

Signed by M. J. K. S. Ayr.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 1900/28-9 dated 2-4-1930 in the matter of the resumption of Kayamgutta Kadabanahalli endowed to Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Sakleshpur, I am directed to enquire if the Services in the temple are being properly performed and whether the net proceeds of the village are used for these Services. Information as to the present

over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

condition of the temple and of the

in it as also to the Kings in connection

with them are not may kindly

be furnished at an early date

The records are returned herewith

I have

Yours &c

Subject & appd

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT

No. *Dated Bangalore,* 6th June 193 0

From

Rajasevaparayana
B SRINIVASA IYENGAR, Esq., B. A, B L,
Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

To

Rajakaryapravina
P.G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 1900 of 1928-29 dated 2nd April 1930 in the matter of the resumption of Bayangutta Kadabanahalli endowed to Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Baklespur, I am directed to enquire if the services in the temple are being properly performed and whether the net profits of the village are used for these services. Information as to the present condition of the temple and of the services in it as also how the charges in connection with them are met may kindly be furnished at an early date. The records are returned herewith.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

FINANCIAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

WD 063—GPB—20,000—1 8-29

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue.....

Signed by .....

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 2795

To

The Tahsildar.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith copy of letter No. 4[illegible] from the [illegible] dated 16-[illegible] on the issue of the resumption of Nayanagatta Kasabanahalli, Channapatna taluk and to request you to do good as to favour him with your opinion on the proposal made therein for resumption of the village on the ground of its having been granted for the upkeep of the [illegible]

I have to

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT

35

Dated, Bangalore, 6th June 1930.

No.

From

Rajasevaparayana
B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, Esq., B. A., B. L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To D. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,
Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Settlements
Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith copy of letter No. 1900 of 1928-29 dated 16th September 1929 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore in the matter of the resumption of Kayangutta, Kadabanahalli, Bangarabad Taluk and to request you to be so good as to favour Government with your opinion on the proposal made therein of resumption of the village on the ground of its having been alienated by the archak in possession.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

C.R.G.2.

Letter No. 1900 of 1928-29 dated the 16th September 1929 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, to the Secretary to Government, Financial Department.

In submitting herewith records received from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, with his letter No. 1244-28 dated the 22nd May 1929 (copy enclosed) in the matter of resuming the Kayamgutta Kadabanahalli village in the Manjarabad Taluk, endowed to the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Saklespur, I have the honor to state as follows:-

The Kayamgutta village of Kadabanahalli in the Manjarabad Taluk, endowed to the Sri Anjaneyaswamy temple at Saklespur, was till 1910 in the possession of Archak Shamachar, who then alienated the village to one Sannegowda of Saklespur for an annual gutta of Rs. 100/- This alienation of devadaya Inam lands was noticed by the local Officers and an explanation of both the alienor and the alienee was called for. It is reported that Archak Shamachar pleaded his inability to pay the lessee his costs and redeem the property. The lessee, Mr. Sannegowda is said to have stated that the Inam village was transferred to him through a duly registered deed for an annual gutta of Rs. 100/-. The lessee, being now dead, his son Mr. Sannegowda prays that the Inam village may be continued to him for cultivation on the same gutta of Rs. 100/- as was previously agreed to by the Archak. When the Sub-Division Officer took up this case for enquiry, Mr. Somegowda is said to have stated that he had no objection to restore the Inam lands to Government, provided adequate compensation was awarded to him as he had spent a large sum for improving the land. Shamachar the lessor, is also now dead. His eldest son Raghavendrachar stated before the Sub-Division Officer that his father executed the lease deed through ignorance and inducement, that the transaction was invalid, and that he could not afford to pay any compensation to the lessee. In these circumstances, the Sub-Division Officer recommended that the Inam tenure of the village be cancelled, and the village resumed to Government and lands therein disposed of as Government land after the introduction of Survey and Settlement into the village, the temple being given a cash grant of Rs. 120/- per annum. Agreeing with the Sub-

Division Officer, the Deputy Commissionerrequested that sanction of Government may be obtained (1) for the cancellation of the Inam tenure of the Kayamgutta Kadabanahally village & (2) for its sale in public auction after introducing Survey and Settlement therein and (3) also for the grant of an annual cash grant of Rs. 100/- for the temple of Sri Anjaneyaswamy at Saklespur in lieu of the Inam village to be resumed.

As regards the award of compensation calimed by the lessee, the Sub Division Officer computes it at Rs. 1000/- and recommends that it may be met from the realisations of about Rs. 2000/- to Rs. 3000/- to be realised by the sale of the lands. The Deputy Commissioner on the other hand, states that the lessee may be left to himself to claim the compensation from the heirs of the lessor and that Government cannot compensate him in any way.

In his subsequent communication No. Mus. C.1244-28 dated the 13th August 1929 (copy enclosed) the Deputy Commissioner, while submitting a petition from Mr. B.S. Somegowda, son of the lessee, praying that the village may be leased out to him for a period of 10 years for an annual gutta of Rs. 100/- as he is unable to recover Rs. 3000/- spent by him on the improvements of the village from the alienor Archak who has no means, states that the petitioner's case is deserving of sympathetic consideration, since the petitioner cannot claim anything from the alienor.

If the Archak is a pauper and the lessee took the village with his eyes wide open, on permanent lease, there is no reason why the institution should suffer on that account. I think that landed properties are always a source of doubtful income as endowments. I am therefore of opinion that it would be much better if these lands are converted into cash and the expenses of the temple are met out of the interest earned on the sale proceeds of the land. I therefore beg to recommend that the lands in the village may be ordered to be sold, after getting the tenure of the village altered from Inam to Sircar and the realizations invested in Govt. paper to be purchased in the name of the institution, & a scale fixed according to the income.

---

* C O P Y *

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,.....8th August.....*1930.*

12

No. 1900 Emptd 28-9



FROM

Rajakaryapravina
P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial Department.

Muz 92-29-8

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.2794/ Muz.92-69-6 dated 9-6-30 calling for further information in the matter of the resumption of Khayamgutta Kadabanahalli endowed to Sri Anjaneya-swami temple at Saklespur, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.C.1244-28 dated 28-7-30 received from the Deputy Commissioner Hassan District, furnishing full information in the matter.

The records are herewith retransmitted for reference and obliging return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Yeeraswegowda
for Muzrai Commissioner.

Sub (absent from Hd Quar

Letter No.C21244-28 dated 28-7-30 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan strict, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Re: the resumption of the Khayamgutta Kadabanahalli village in the Manjarabad Taluk endowed to the temple of Sri Anjaneyaswamy at Saklespur.

With reference to your No.1900/29-30 dated 16-6-30 on the above subject, I have the honor to state that it is reported that the services in the temple are being properly performed with the exception of "Sanivaraseva". The net profits of the village i.e. Rs 67-3-0 excluding the Khayamgutta amount of R. 32-13-0 are being utilised by the Archak for the performance of the Sevas and no other payment is being made to the Archak for the purpose.

The temple is reported to be in good condition. The present Archak Annaiahcharya has given a statement before the Amildar on 18-7-30 that the temple was built by his ancestors and that he is executing necessary annual repairs to the temple in addition to the performance of Nityakatle Sevas in the temple.

The connected records ending with Saklespur Sub Division Officer's No. A4.C.653-28 dated 20-7-30 accompany.

"COPY"

Yecaraseeja Rau

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Bangalore, 14th August 193

15

No 1900 Ev3
28-9



FROM

Rajakaryapravina

P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

246

To

Rajaseva Prawina
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of India
Legislative Department,
Simla.

Mwz 92-29-9.

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter No. 1900 of 28-29 dated 12th August 1930 in the matter of the resumption of Nagambidu Madabanahalli ended of Bangalore, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of Deputy Commissioner's letter No.C.1244-8 dated 6th August 1930 from the residents of Bakkapur and the Archaka of the temple praying for selection of casuarina for the temple in case the inam village is to be resumed to Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. Narasimha Ayyangar.
for Muzrai Commissioner.
Asst (absent from Head Quarters)

FINANCIAL

28 OCT.

No.

Dated, Bangalore, 24-10-1930.

Muz 92-29-10

From

D. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, Esq., B.A.,

Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore.

To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, BANGALORE

Sir,

(57)

With reference to your letter No. 2795/Muz.92-29-7 dated 9th June 1930 calling for my opinion on the proposal of the Muzrai Commissioner to resume the village of Kayamgutta Kadabanahalli, Nanjarajpad Taluk, on the ground of its having been alienated by the Archak in possession, I have the honor to state that as the Government order No.779-80/Camp Mysore dated 11th July 1885 confirmed the terms of the alienation of the village by Annayyachar to Kesavachar and directed that the Muzrai Department should see to the due compliance by Srinivasachar, son of -- Kesavachar, with the terms of the grant by Annayyachar to Kesavachar, I find it difficult to agree to the proposal that the village should be resumed. There is nothing in the Inam Register relating to the grant by Government of the Khayamgutta village Kadabanahalli to Annayy-2- char which imposes any restriction on the grantee Annayyachar's power of alienating the village. In the absence of such a restriction the transfer by Annayyachar of the village to Kesavachar subject to the latter devoting the net income of the village to the Anjaneya temple was regular and legal. This arrangement received the express confirmation of Government in their order of 1885 on a representation by Kesavachar's heir, Srinivasachar. Even in this order there is no direction that Srinivasachar was not to alienate the property or that his powers of alienation were in any way limited. I am therefore of -- opinion that the grant of a lease in perpetuity by Srinivasachar's heir, Shamachar, to Sonnegowda at an annual rent of Rs. 100/- to be paid to the Anjaneya temple is neither illegal nor irregular.

It is however a point for consideration in view of the condition

condition imposed by the terms of the grant to Kesavachar and that the entire net income should be devoted to the Anjaneya temple whether a rent of Rs. 100/- per annum for a Khayamgutta village of 114 acres is a fair equivalent to the net income of the village.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. Rama Chandra Rao

Asst. Commissioner
For Superintendent,
(absent on leave).

1191 mis 92 - 29-11
Rec 08

24th Nov. 1930.
N.C.

Financial xxx

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 1900 of 1928-29 dated 12th August 1930 in the matter of the resumption of kayamgutta Kadabanahalli endowed to Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Saklespur, I am directed to forward copy of a letter from the Superintendent of Revenue Survey and Settlements in the matter and to enquire whether in view of the conditions imposed by the terms of the grant to Kesavachar and his heirs that the entire income should be devoted to the Anjaneya temple, a rent of Rs. 100/- per annum for a kayamgutta village of 114 acres is a fair equivalent to the net income of the village and to request to be favoured with your further recommendation in the matter

BJECT.....

.....

.....

iary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *193*

Date of issue 26.11.30

Signed by V. T. Tas[illegible]

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section Mun 3
File No. 92-29
Serial No. 11
No. 1191/records

To
The M.C.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with letter No 1900/29-29 of 12/8/30 in the matter of the resumption of Khayamgalla Kadabamalai endowed to Sri Anjaneya Swamy temple at Sakkarapura, I am directed to ~~state that~~ forward herewith a copy of a letter from the Supdt. of Rev. Survey & Settlements ~~dated [illegible] Cuddalore~~ in the matter ~~of [illegible] Agraharam~~ and to enquire whether, in view of the conditions imposed by the terms of the

[over

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25 6-30

grant to Kesavachar & his heirs
that the entire income should
be devoted to the Anjaneya Temple,
a sum of Rs 100/- has for a
Khayam quite village of 114 acres
is a fair equivalent
to the net income of the village
and to ~~submit these findings~~ request to be
~~with your~~ with your further recommendations
in the matter ~~to be~~ ~~ted~~. The records
received from your office are herewith returned.
Name Etc

21.11.30

for Secretary
Law Dept

4 1886

Bangalor 1.....4 .....193 1.

FROM

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore





Muz 92-29 11

Rajasevaparayana
.....B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,

Financial *Department.*

Subject:- Re the resumption of Kayamgutta Kadabanahalli village endowed to Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Saklespur -- Manjarabad Taluk.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.1191/Muz.92-29-11 dated --- 24/26th November 1930 on the above subject, I have the honor to -- state that the Deputy Commissioner has, in his letter No.C.1244-28 dated 8th April 1931, stated that a rent of Rs.100/- per annum is a fair equivalent to the net income of the village. It appears from the records that the village being in a forest and thinly populated area, and the natural conditions being unfavourable only persons - having proper resources can undertake to bring the area under ----- cultivation. It appears that the archak has no resources to maintain the village in proper condition. The party now in possession i.e Somegowda, is not willing to pay more than Rs.100/- per annum. In these circumstances, the rent of Rs.100/- p.a. may be taken as a fair equivalent of the net income from the village.

In the course of the resumption proceedings, the party i.e., Somegowda, has petitioned to the Deputy Commissioner that he may be permitted to retain the land for 10 years on a gutta of Rs.100/- per year to enable him to recoup the amount he has already invested for improving the land. The Deputy Commissioner has accordingly proposed the lease of the land to Somegowda for 10 years on a rental of Rs.100 per annum which, I recommend, may be kindly sanctioned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H. Manjunath
Muzrai Commissioner.

ssan s r c , o e uzra om ss oner in Mysore Bangalore.

Re: the resumption of Khayamgutta Kadabanahalli village endowed to Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Sakalesput in the Manajarabad taluk.

With reference to your memo No. 1900/28-29 dated 11th December 1930 on the above subject, I have the honor to state as follows:-

The Amildar of Manjarabad taluk who was asked to ascertain and report, if Rs.100/- is a fair equivalent to the net income of the --- village has made enquiries and is of opinion that the income is not -- more than Rs-100/- per annum. The Sub Division officer also concurs with the opinion of the matter.

I request you, therefore, to kindly accept the annual income of the village to be Rs.100/- only as opined by both the Amildar and the Sub Division officer .

The alienee Sannegowda is dead and his son B.S.Somegowda has already presented a petition on 8th August 1929 agreeing to pay an annual gutta of Rs.100/- as per lease deed.(vide page 70 in the file)

The connected records bearing on the subject received with Sakalesput Sub Division Officer's No. A1.653-28 dated 28-3-1931, ----- accompany.

" C o p y "

Yreenasuja Rau

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Correspondence ending with letter No. 1900 of 1928-29 dated 25th April 1931 from the Muzrai Commissioner stating that Kayamgutta Kadabanahalli village endowed to the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Sakleopur Manjarabad Taluk is in a forest and thinly populated area and the natural conditions being unfavourable, only persons having proper resources can undertake to bring it under cultivation, that the Archak has no resources to maintain the village in proper conditions, while the party now in possession Viz: Somegowda is not willin, to pay more than Rs. 100/- per annum which is reported to be a fair equivalent of the net income of the village and that in the course of the resumption proceedings the said Somegowda has petitioned to the Deputy Commissioner to permit him to retain the land for ten years on a gutta of Rs. 100/- per annum to enable him to recoup the amount he has already invested for improving the land and requesting sanction to the lease of the same to Somegowda for ten years on a rental of Rs. 100/- per annum.

Order No. 2459-60/Muz 92-29-13

Dated, Bangalore, the 12th May 1931.

S a n c t i o n e d .

V Subrahmanyasastri
for Secretary to Government,
S.S Financial Department.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner, Bangalore.
The Comptroller to Government.

C.R.C.S.

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to . ...

General and Revenue Secretariat

( ) *the* *193* .

Date of issue 12 5 31

Signed by ...

May this be

(i) Printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Sec. Secy 3

File No. 9 2- 29

Serial No. 13.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Correspondence ending with letter no 1900/28-29 dt 25/4/1931 from the H.C. stating that the Hayagreeva Kadabanahalli Village owned by the Sri

Order Thereon No. Anjaneya Swami Temple at Sakleshpur Majarabad Tk is in a forest and thinly pop area and the natural conditions being unfavourable, only persons having proper resources can undertake it to bring the area under

Cultivation, ~~adding~~ that
the archak has no resources
to maintain the Village in
proper Condition, while the
party now in possession viz Somegowda
is not willing to pay more
than Rs 100/- p.a (which is reported to be a equivalent of the net income of the
in the course of the resumption
proceedings the said Somegowda
has petitioned to the D.C.
to permit him to retain
the land for 10 years on a
quitrent of Rs 100/- p.a to enable
him to recoup the amount
he has already invested
for improving the land
and requesting sanction
to the lease of the said
to Somegowda for 10 years
on a rental of Rs 100/- p.a.

ORDER No 2459 60 - 9 12 S° 31

Sanctioned

7 for Sc to Govt

11.5.31

H Deputy

To

The M.C.

The Comm^r.

G.S 11/5/31

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 02560 -GPB- 11,250--2-7-30